## MEETING

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA MARKET DEVELOPMENT AND SUSTAINABILITY COMMITTEE

Joe Serna Jr., CalEPA Building
1001 I Street

2nd Floor

Byron Sher Auditorium Sacramento, California

Wednesday, May 13, 2009
10:12 a.m.

HE SUK JONG CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 12918

## APPEARANCES 1 2 BOARD MEMBERS 3 Ms. Margo Reid Brown, Chair Mr. John Laird Ms. Carole Migden 6 7 STAFF 8 Mr. Mark Leary 9 Mr. Elliot Block Ms. Tracey Cottingim 10 Ms. Kristen Garner 11 12 Mr. Howard Levenson 13 ALSO PRESENT 14 Ms. Danielle Aslam 15 16 Mr. Michael Blumenthal Mr. Jeff Danziger 17 Ms. Tamar Dyson 18 Ms. Sheila Kuehl 19 Ms. Cara Morgan 20 Ms. Rosalie Mulé 21 Mr. John Nuffer 22 23 Ms. Rubia Packard Ms. Jennifer Richard 24

25

Mr. Calvin Young

1		I N D E X	
2			
3			Page
4	I.	ROLL CALL	1
5	II.	PUBLIC COMMENT	None
6	Α.	Program Director's Report	1
7	В.	Consideration of the Application to Expand the Los Angeles County Recycling	2
8		Market Development Zone - (Board Item 13)	
9	С.	Consideration of Funding of the Fiscal	6
10		Year 2008/09 Unfunded Grant Awards for the Tire-Derived Produce Grant and the	
11		Rubberized Asphalt Concrete Grant Programs with Fiscal Year 2009/10	
12		Budget Appropriations (Tire Recycling Management Fund, FY 2009/10)	
13		Cancellation of Solicitation for the Tire-Derived Product Grant and the	
14		Rubberized asphalt Concrete Grant Programs for Fiscal Year 2009/10 -	
15		(Board Item 14)	
16			
17		000	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
		iii	

 $\perp \perp \perp$ 

There are agendas on 2 Market and Sustainability Committee. 3 the back table. If anyone would like to speak to any of the issues, Kristen would be very happy to take your speaker 4 slip. A reminder that, if you are in the room, we'd like 5 6 you to turn your cell phone to the vibrate mode. 7 And, Kristen, can you call the roll? 8 EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT GARNER: Laird? BOARD MEMBER LAIRD: 9 Here. 10 EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT GARNER: Migden? BOARD MEMBER MIGDEN: Here. 11 12 EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT GARNER: Brown? 13 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Here. 14 Any ex partes to report? 15 (No audible response.) 16 CHAIRPERSON BROWN: I think we're up-to-date. 17 And I think we'll move, then, first to the program director's report. 18 19 MR. LEVENSON: Good morning, Madam Chair. 20 Howard Levenson with the sustainability program, and I don't 21 really have a report today. I do want to just flag one 22 upcoming event. Yesterday we had quite a discussion about 23 some of the interagency issues related to composting, and

CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Good morning. Welcome to the

1

24

2.5

Water Board on green waste composting and the development of

one of those concern the work that we're doing with the

a statewide general order. We have a tentative date of June 24th for a stakeholder workshop here at CalEPA which will involve the Water Board, our staff, and the industry discussing that issue. So that will be up on our events calendar as soon as we absolutely confirm the date, but it's slated for late June, so I'm very pleased that we're making that progress. That concludes my report.

CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Great job.

2.5

And I think we can go, then, any questions from members?

(No audible response.)

CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Why don't we launch right into Committee Item B, Board Item 13.

MR. LEVENSON: Item 13 is the application to expand the Los Angeles County Recycling Market Development Zone, and it's something we're very pleased to bring to you for your consideration. And giving that presentation will be Danielle Aslam, who is out of our Southern California office, and she told me this is the first time she's even been in this room.

MS. MIGDEN: Welcome.

MS. ASLAM: Thank you. Good morning, Committee

Members. The Los Angeles County Recycling Market

Development Zone was established in 1994 and currently

consists of unincorporated Los Angeles County and seven

cities.

2.5

In developing the expansion request, the Zone invited all jurisdictions in Los Angeles County that are not currently part of a zone to join. Four cities -- Compton, Inglewood, Palmdale, and Torrance -- worked with the Zone and their individual city councils to prepare this expansion request.

The map included with the item designates the current and proposed area included in the Los Angeles County Recycling Market Development Zone. The expansion is designed to increase the Zone's ability to identify feedstock, make connections between processors of recyclables and manufacturers of recycled content goods, and market-finished products.

As described in the agenda item, business assistance and incentive programs offered by the new member jurisdictions will strengthen the effort of the Zone and improve networking with a larger business community than exists with the current zone.

Letters from the four proposed new members describe projects and targeted business to support the goals outlined in the market development plan, specifically the new members have noted the following benefits of their involvement in the program: Compton estimates 1,800 businesses within the city could be targeted to encourage

the use of recycled material in their manufacturing processes.

Inglewood intends to assist a local green waste processor to acquire a loan to expand its operations which would create new jobs and increase availability of processed mulch for use by landscapers and government agencies in Southern California.

Palmdale will work with local manufactures to encourage use of recycled materials in their products. A manufacturer that uses aluminum will be targeted as part of this effort to determine if recycled aluminum can be used instead of virgin material.

Torrance will facilitate networking amongst existing businesses to find opportunities to recover potential waste for use as raw inputs by other manufacturers.

Staff is pleased with this expansion effort and recommends the Board adopt Option 1 to approve the

Los Angeles County application to expand the existing zone to include the proposed cities.

This concludes Staff's presentation. The Zone administrator was unable to attend today's meeting because of budgetary travel restrictions.

BOARD MEMBER MIGDEN: Thank you.

MS. ASLAM: Thank you.

2.5

1	CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Excellent job for your first
2	presentation. It's not easy sitting down there doing that.
3	Question I know we are in an expansion or
4	entertaining ideas of new zones. Is there another one being
5	considered for Los Angeles area?
6	(Inaudible response.)
7	CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Santa Fe Springs?
8	MS. ASLAM: Santa Fe Springs does seem to be
9	considering developing a zone.
10	CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Okay. Great.
11	Any other questions from members?
12	BOARD MEMBER LAIRD: Madam Chair, I would move
13	Resolution 2009-59.
14	CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Can I have a second?
15	BOARD MEMBER MIGDEN: Second.
16	CHAIRPERSON BROWN: It's been moved by Member
17	Laird and seconded by Member Migden.
18	Kristen, can you call the roll?
19	EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT GARNER: Laird?
20	BOARD MEMBER LAIRD: Aye.
21	EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT GARNER: Migden?
22	BOARD MEMBER MIGDEN: Aye.
23	EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT GARNER: Brown?
24	CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Aye.
25	Motion passes, and we can put that on consent.

And we'll move to Item C, Board Item 14.

MR. LEVENSON: Thank you, and if we can have about ten seconds for staff shuffle.

2.5

Okay. I think we're ready to go.

Agenda Item 14 has a long title, but it basically is considering funding some of our unfunded grant applications for our tire grant programs from fiscal year 08/09 with some of the 09/10 funds that are available.

As you're well aware, we've had a series of items regarding the award of fiscal year 08/09 funds for our tire-direct product and recycled asphalt concrete grant items, but these span March, April and also consideration yesterday about the five-year tire plan.

But, as you know, these programs are very significantly oversubscribed. We have about six dozen tire-direct products and recycled asphalt concrete grant applications from this cycle that are eligible and worthy of funding. So we're asking you to take advantage of the circumstances of the 09/10 budget, that it's approved, and that you already, at least provisionally yesterday in the five-year tire plan, approved the allocation of funds from the 09/10 budget for these grant programs to fund almost all of these eligible applications from these two grants -- programs.

This will allow us to get more grant funds out on

the streets and into playgrounds and trails and landscaping projects and the like, and that can't be a bad thing in this calendar year -- or this year, given the economic situation.

2.5

If the Board agrees, this will still be contingent upon any changes that come out of the potential June revisions -- budgetary revisions and the funds being available, so it is a somewhat conditional situation we're in.

And before I turn it over to Calvin to give you a little bit more specifics, I do want to acknowledge Michael Blumenthal is in the audience. And yesterday you had a discussion about some of the issues that he raised, and I do want to reiterate for his benefit that we are committed to having a program evaluation to deal with many of the issues that he's raised, and we will be coming to you in August with an item that has two parts. One is a very extensive presentation of the situation today in the markets. We have a great report coming from our contractor. We've had a draft out for public comment. And I think you'll be -- it will very educational for all of us to see the trends and the analysis in that.

As part of that, we also will present to you our proposal for doing the program evaluation, a timeline and a process, so that you can make any comments that you want and fine-tune that. But we are gearing up for that, and we will

get back to you in a couple months with more detail on that.

So that was a little sidebar, but let's go ahead and turn it to Calvin for a formal presentation on this one.

MR. YOUNG: Thank you, Howard.

2.5

Good morning, Chair Brown and Board Members. I'm Calvin Young with the Sustainability division. Howard did a great job of summarizing, and I'll try not to repeat his comments; however, I would like to provide some additional details.

This item seeks approval for the last part of a fortunate process that was presented in the March tire-derived product grant award item and again in the rubber -- the April rubberized asphalt concrete grant award item.

These final two steps are to approve funding of eligible TDP and RAC applications for fiscal year 2008/9 and using fiscal 9/10 moneys. And because 9/10 moneys will be exhausted, cancel the grant subscriptions for these programs for fiscal year 9/10.

This approach provides far more benefits than potential drawbacks. Several specific economic and programatic impacts were presented in the item, and I just want to take a moment to highlight a few of the key items.

The grants awarded by this Board in the last few months for the TDP and RAC programs, plus this proposed

action, will result in \$19.5 million being awarded for green shovel-ready projects that will create an estimated \$38 million in economic impacts, \$20 million in new dollars for the California state economy, 15 million in labor income, and an additional 307 jobs for Californians. These impacts are expected to be further leveraged by federal stimulus dollars.

2.5

The major impacts on the programatic side are twofold: First, Staff will have an opportunity to perform a programatic evaluation in cooperation with our tire business assistance contractor, R.W. Beck. This will provide an improved foundation on which the Board can base its further programatic decisions.

Second, Staff will be able to further assist grantees in the effective utilization of grant dollars and promoting products based on performance, not on the availability of grant funding. In the long run, this will increase the development of sustained markets while decreasing the importance of financial assistance.

In summary, Staff believes that this funding approach presents an opportunity to effectively support our strategic directive 3.4 by strengthening markets for ground rubber, assist in providing much needed stimulus to the California economy, and lay the foundation for long-term sustainable markets with a reduced reliance on financial

assistance. Accordingly, Staff recommends the Board adopt Resolution 2009-62. This concludes my presentation. Are there any questions?

CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Excellent job.

Any questions from anybody?

2.5

BOARD MEMBER LAIRD: Madam Chair, no questions but just a brief comment which is, once again, the Staff did a good job in adding the geographical diversity of these in a way that was understandable, and I want to thank you for that.

And I think that, also, the staff was being responsive to the Board's concern that we do our best to sort of have a Phase B for whatever happens and for people that weren't funded in those first rounds and have a place to go so that we're actually using the money.

And this is an add-on to the discussion we had yesterday, which is, I really think, showing all the activity in this program is a thing that's going to deal with any desire to continue to raid or maybe even put pressure to pay back loans. And I think this is good work in that regard, so I'm going to be very pleased to support this.

CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Thank you. Agree. It's something that we need to shout loud and far, the number of green jobs that are created and the economic stimulus that

this program has continued to push forward. And it's nice to see shovel-ready projects ready to go. I know there's some anxiety or there may be some concern about anxiety out there with stakeholders who, all of a sudden, won't have funds available in the next year for another request, but these are projects that are ready to go, and we really need to fund people that are ready to go.

2.5

(Inaudible discussion is not reported.)

BOARD MEMBER MIGDEN: May I ask something?

CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Absolutely.

BOARD MEMBER MIGDEN: So we have a goal, Howard, of 90 percent we use by -- are we going to make that goal?

I mean, is anything happening now, because it's extraordinary 75 percent or so is dealt with; it really is.

So I want to say that's a fabulously successful program from something that's haunted us for many years in the complications of disposal, environmentally.

So if we have that ambitious goal ahead, five years or so, what do you think about where we are? Is it just -- it's a money thing to fulfill the grants? Is it a readiness preparation issue dispersed throughout the county to reuse or rubberize asphalt or whatever the purposes are? Would you kindly comment?

MR. LEVENSON: Sure. And I think that's really going to be the crux of the discussion that you have in

August when you see the report from our contractor. There's a number of real barriers and pitfalls, I think, to us achieving that 95 percent diversion rate. Frankly, the diversion rate has been sort of flat the last couple years, and there's an error bar in the estimate, so it's never quite sure exactly where you are. But it's hovered in the low to mid 70 percent range for a couple years.

2.5

There are some real issues. Some of them are related to the economic downturn. For example, one of the biggest -- or a big use of waste tires is in cement production in some of the factories there. And they are not, obviously, producing as much as they were, so they're not demanding as much tires.

We have a landfill that was a major user of landfill chips as a liner, sort of a civil engineering application. They stopped last year, and that's got a big hit of a million or so passenger tire equivalence, which is how we measure things.

On the other hand, we also have the export to look at. You know, are there trends coming from overseas, particularly the Asian markets, that will increase the use of tires. And those are all the things that we are going to present to you in August in terms of those trends. And then just --

BOARD MEMBER MIGDEN: I would only say, why do

you think it was stagnating before we had terribly grave economic problems? You were saying to me we've kind of plateaued for a while.

2.5

MR. LEVENSON: Well, that's one of the reasons why we need to have the overall program evaluation. We need to have -- be able to dig in much more deeply as to are our grant programs as effective as we thought they were, should the moneys be focused in some different way?

Over the years, the last three or four years, the Board has, for example, in the Recycled Rubberized Asphalt Concrete program, you've racheted down the amount of money that's paid to people who have used this material multiple times and focused more on first-time users -- or first-time or second-time users in an attempt to get the stimulus moving there. Do we need to do more of that kind of strategic thinking about the grant programs and the expenditure? Same thing on some of our contract dollars.

One of the things that the Board did last month -- I'm getting my items mixed up, but there's so many tire items, I can't keep them all straight. But there is a new contract out of Public Affairs office to look at more outreach on tire sustainability issues, and that will include more outreach on the tire-derived aggregate, some of the big roadside construction projects, the sound attenuation under the Light Rails. Do we need to do more

promotion of those kinds of projects? So all that will be in the mix for discussion.

And I think over the next half year -- which may seem like a long time -- you're going to have the opportunity for a very robust discussion of, are the way we are spending our moneys currently the way we want to continue in the next several years.

It doesn't directly answer your question, but I hope it sets the stage.

CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Thank you. Now have somebody who would like to participate?

BOARD MEMBER LAIRD: No, I was going to move Resolution 2009-62.

CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Can you hold for a second? I have a speaker slip. He wants to speak to the discussion.

Michael?

2.5

MR. BLUMENTHAL: Thank you, Madam Chair. It sounds like I'm being called into the principal's office.

Yes, thank you. My name is Michael Blumenthal.

I represent the Rubber Manufacturers Association, and I'd

like to talk to Board Member Migden's -- the question of why

haven't the markets increased over the last number of years

and what needs to be done in the -- from heretofore to

increase the markets.

There always have been three basic markets for

tires: Fuel, civil engineering, and ground rubber.

2.5

Here in California, you basically have one arm tied behind your back because in this state there is a law that says that the Board cannot spend any money in anything tire-derived, fuel related.

Tire-derived fuel is the largest single market out there and always has been, and I think it's going to be that way for a good number of years.

Not having the ability to push tire-derived fuel hurts this state. On top of that, you have a series of, as Howard mentioned very -- and he's right, there's at least one kiln that might stop using tires. That's 5 percent of all the tires that are produced here in the state. That's a big hit. So not having the ability to focus on the biggest, single largest market and a large consumer of tires, plus the current economic conditions, there is one strike.

As far as tire-derived aggregate is concerned, I think you folks here have done just about everything you can to create all kinds of information on tire-derived aggregate. We have absolutely no complaints about it whatsoever. What we think is missing is the implementation piece.

We had a workshop -- I sponsored a workshop down in San Diego, and there were 56 people down there. We had participation from Waste Board staff plus other industries

with other industrial materials as well as the Federal Highway Administration, and I think that was very well received.

2.5

You have a lot of talent here in -- at the staff level; they're very knowledgeable. You have five excellent projects that show everybody how much money can be saved and how it can work, why to use tires; but you have no implementation plan for any of this material.

Years ago, long before any of you got on board here, the state paid put out a contract and got five reports on using tire shreds in landfill applications. Now, I'm not advocating ADC; I don't even want to talk ADC. That's four out of five reports that could be used. They sit on the shelf. You've never had any workshops at any of the landfills on how to use tire shreds in landfill construction, less ADC. So while you have all this very good information, there's no implementation of getting this information to the end-users.

In the ground rubber industry, you have a number of major markets out here. RAC has been a large, large market. You've been giving lots of RAC grants.

Years ago you had a Northern California and Southern California technical service. I think something like that needs to be revised and get out there and not just give counties the money to use RAC but give them the

education and comfort on why to use it on a technical basis.

2.5

If rubber modified asphalt or any ground rubber market is ever going to work, it's going to have to work on its own economic merit. I believe that the technical merits are certainly there, but you have to get past this whole — the things on urban myths and misperceptions and bad information. And I think with RAC you certainly have a very strong core use, but I think you're not addressing the main issue, which is tackling the technical issues as well as showing that it can be economically viable without the grants.

On the ground rubber markets, I think the other part of it is, once again, to shift the grants away from simply giving someone a grant to buy the material -- although at this point in time it's probably necessary -- more to the end of why these materials are so good, why is rubber in playgrounds beneficial: Because it's the safest material people can fall onto.

I think you have to address the technical issues about the use of ground rubber in artificial turf, and I sent you the link to the video that was out there. These questions -- there's lots of questions, but there's real good information. I think if the State got behind it and said there is ample information, this is not a health concern, this is why I think it would remove a lot of the

obstacles.

2.5

And on the -- you have a conversion technology program. I think that needs to get revved up. The key here is not just to give grants to people to buy the material but give a grant to a company that can manufacture a product using recycled content as opposed to virgin content. That creates the demand for this product, the long-term demand. And if you start getting two, three, four, five companies here in California that are using ground rubber as part of their raw material mix and buying this all from the open market, you've just created self-sustaining demand, and the amount of grants that you have to give out can go down. So I think it's a general shift in how things are being done here, and I look forward to working with you all in August with the rest of the material. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Thank you, Michael. I know Michael is going to be here in August.

MR. LEVENSON: We'll be here all week.

CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Any questions or follow-up?

BOARD MEMBER LAIRD: (Inaudible).

CHAIRPERSON BROWN: I think we have a motion on

the floor. And can we have a second?

BOARD MEMBER MIGDEN: Second.

CHAIRPERSON BROWN: It's been moved by Member Laird and seconded by Member Migden.

1	Carol Kristen Carol, whoever you are, can
2	you call the roll?
3	EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT GARNER: Laird?
4	BOARD MEMBER LAIRD: Aye.
5	EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT GARNER: Migden?
6	BOARD MEMBER MIGDEN: Aye.
7	EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT GARNER: Brown?
8	CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Aye.
9	The motion passes, and I believe that will be on
10	fiscal consent on Tuesday.
11	Any other questions, comments?
12	(No audible response.)
13	CHAIRPERSON BROWN: Adjourn this meeting. Thank
14	you.
15	(Whereupon the California Integrated
16	Waste Management Board adjourned at
17	10:37 a.m.)
18	
19	
20	000
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	-19-

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, HE SUK JONG, a Certified Shorthand
4	Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:
5	That I am a disinterested person herein; that the
6	foregoing hearing was reported in shorthand by me,
7	He Suk Jong, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of
8	California, and thereafter transcribed into typewriting.
9	I further certify that I am not of counsel or
10	attorney for any of the parties to said hearing nor in any
11	way interested in the outcome of said hearing.
12	
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
14	this 26th day of May 2009, at Sacramento, California.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	HE SUK JONG, CSR NO. 12918
20	Certified Shorthand Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	